

# UNITED STATES DE ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/248,057

i (

02/10/99

BUI

Т

62492

022242 FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET

SUITE 1600

CHICAGO IL 60603-3406

**EXAMINER** · QM32/0104 THISSELL, J

**ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

3762

5

**DATE MAILED:** 

01/04/00

Pleas find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Offic Action Summary

Application No. **09/248,057** 

Jeremy Thissell

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 3762

...

Bui tal



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 10, 1999</u>	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/035 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	
Disposition of Claim	
	.
Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration	n n
Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
	nt.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on	
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152  SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/248,057

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A programmable medical device wherein the medical device comprises:

Species A: an infusion pump;

Species B: a respirator;

Species C: a vital sign monitor;

Species D: an apnea monitor;

Species E: a blood analyzer;

2. Further species are claimed as well:

A controller for a programmable medical device, wherein the controller comprises:

Species I: a remote control unit;

Species II: a touchscreen.

3. Upon election of I drawn to a remote control unit, a further election of species is required.

A remote controller for a programmable medical device, wherein the controller comprises:

Species Ia: a mouse;

Application/Control Number: 09/248,057 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

Species Ib: a lightpen;

Species Ic: a keypad.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/248,057

Art Unit: 3762

4. A telephone call was made to Perry Hoffman on 22 December, 1999 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election

of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee

required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3762

## **Contacts**

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeremy Thissell at (703) 305-5261, or to Primary Patent Examiner Ronald Stright (703) 308-2113.

Jeremy Thissell

Patent Examiner

IT

December 22 1999

RONALD STRIGHT